

VI. The real property, situated within this State, with its income and proceeds, is the primary fund for the payment of the mortgages charged upon it (R. S. 749, sec. 4). But, with regard to such property situated in other States, it seems not to be accurate that the testator's personal property is the primary fund out of which the mortgage charged upon it should be satisfied.

VII. Taxes and curial dues, death, must be paid by the owners property and not by the heirs, as debts of the deceased, and not by the heirs, as debts of the deceased. The persons entitled to the rents and profits must satisfy charges of this nature, in analogy to the principle that a tenant's life is bound to keep down such charges.

VIII. As assessments for permanent improvements are charged upon the corpus of the land; therefore, those entitled only to the rents and profits are not bound to liquidate such charges; but they may either advance the amount or pay the interest on such amounts during the continuance of their estate.

IX. Nothing, I believe, of any consequence remains for consideration, but the instrument bearing the form of a promissory note, and the instrument bearing the form of a promissory note.

As a promise to act cannot be enforced at law, is only saying that the law cannot force a man to do what he has promised to do. In the law of contract, the subject must be confined to the province of ethics and of the conscience. It would be impossible for the law to determine by any general rule when a mere promise is a contract. It is necessary to inquire into the facts of each case, and to satisfactorily ascertain the motives which it originated, the disability by which it was influenced, the change in the relative position of the intended donor and donee, or in the conduct or course of dealing, which would render the promise void, or justify in revoking the promise. The law is reluctant to interfere with the disposition of any man's affairs; and it is no more compels him to fulfill a boundless demand. Hence, the promise is binding only in the first instance, or until the promisor is permitted to entertain or indulge it in the full line once, or until it compels him to tell the truth, to be grateful to his benefactor, or to do what he has promised to do.

dependent, interpretive factors which compensate defects which, from the ethica of proceeding peculiar to the common law, would otherwise in the administration of justice. For this purpose it possesses the means of reforming instruments, of rescuing or suppressing facts, by which it may determine the real merits of the case, affording the relief adapted to its circumstances, and which natural justice evidently demands. But this authority is exercised only in certain cases, such as fraud, accident, or mistake; it is never exerted to give effect to what is in Court of Law leaves to the operative force of the construction given by the Court of Equity will set, in a union of the two courts, to prevent injustice, in contravention of the rules of the common law, is saying that it can exercise an arbitrary

This Court, therefore, however reluctant to contravene the wishes and intentions of the decedent, must refuse to give effect to this instrument as a promissory note.

AGAINST THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.
The Colonial Life Assurance Company agt. the B. and of Super-
visors of the County of New-York.

This is an application for a mandamus to the Board
of Supervisors to direct them to erase the name of the relators
from the assessment-roll for the year 1855.

DAVIS, J. — The grounds of this application are that the Tax
Commissioners of the City of New-York have inserted the
name of the relators in the assessment-roll for the year 1855, and

atory. Their power is spent, and if the writ issued and they were to obey it, it would not stay the Receiver of taxes in the execution of the warrant. That a mandamus could not go under such circumstances has been expressly held in two cases: The People ex. Supervisors of Westchester County, 15 Barb., 607; The People ex. Supervisors of Greene County, 12 Barb., 217. The well-settled rule is recognized by these cases, that a mandamus will not be granted when it would be unavailing from a want of power in the defendants to perform the required duty. This is a prerogative

incorporation is not in the receipt of any profits or income, the assets of such corporation shall be struck off the assessment roll, and no tax shall be imposed upon it. And the agreement of any moneyed or stock corporation authorized to make dividends to its capital, from which no such dividend shall be received, shall be conclusive evidence that such corporation was liable to taxation and was duly assessed. That Life Insurance Companies are liable to taxation, whether incorporated on the mutual principle or otherwise, in the capital they have actually com-

...in business as the capital of the corporation, it now will
... (Mutual Insurance Co. of Buffalo vs. Supervisors of
... 4 Coms. 442. See Mutual Ins. Co. vs. Mayor of New-
... 4 Selden, 231. The People on the relation of the Mutual
... Ins. Co. vs. the Board of Supervisors of New-York, 29
... 11.) Now the statute has declared in the most emphatic
... manner, that if the affidavit is furnished as required, the name
... of the corporation shall be erased from the assessment roll and
... the tax imposed upon it. If it be true, therefore, that the cor-
... at the time, it is an assessment roll, it is an assessment roll.

not in the receipt of any profits or income from the capital employed in its business in this State, then it was the duty of the proper officer of the corporation to have furnished such affidavit, and had the name of the corporation struck from the assessment roll. If such an affidavit had been furnished, and the Supervisors had omitted to discharge their duties in the premises, a writ of mandamus would have been the proper remedy to have compelled its performance. The statute also requires that this affidavit shall be furnished at the annual

power for extortion and seizure. They have no power to remove or increase any valuation, or to add any property, real or personal, to the assessment rolls, or to make deductions therefrom except that given to them by this ninth section in reference to corporations making the affidavit, and that conferred by the ninth and tenth sections in reference to companies authorized to commute their taxes. The reason for all this is that a certain or assessed sum is to be raised for the purpose of the government annually, and this has to be equally apportioned upon the assessed value of the property.

would be assessed, taxation on persons who were to escape taxation to have furnished such an affidavit within the time prescribed by law, and not having done so, the statute declares, in the most positive terms, that the evidence of his inability to taxator, and that it was only assessed, is conclusive and I am not at liberty to say that it was not. The Court of Appeals, in the case of the Mutual Insurance Company v. Buffalo acc. Supervisors of Erie (Stow, p. 499), affirm this doctrine in the broadest terms, and I am the doctrine proclaimed by the terms of the statute, and the decision of the highest Court of the State, and I am not at liberty to say that it was not.